

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/544,894	07/19/2006	Aravind R Dasu	117316-155055	6488
25943 Schwabe Willi	7590 03/21/201 iamson & Wyatt	EXAMINER		
PACWEST CI	ENTER, SUITE 1900	VU, TUAN A		
1211 SW FIFT PORTLAND.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/21/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/544,894	DASU ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	TUAN A. VU	2193				

	TUAN A. VU	2193					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS .	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.					
⊠ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) ☐ The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  b) ☑ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.198(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	lension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
<ol> <li>\(The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) \(\text{They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>							
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beloge)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	and the second s	antad alaima					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet, (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		piia.it raiioiia.iioii	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
<ol> <li>Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided.</li> </ol>		II be entered and an e	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 12,26-33 and 63.							
Claim(s) objected to: 14-25.							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	is to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12.  Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
	/Tuan A Vu/ Examiner, Art Unit 2193						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims as proposed are not in condition for allowance such as the mere state of claim 14 incorporated in claim 1 does not support a scenario that has weight and clear mechanism as to what it is that depicts a novel aspect of graph scheduling. That is the proposed acts of identifying candidate blocks from common subgraph and scheduling does not establish any form of action with mechanism of weight to elevate the proposed alnguage to a patentable novelty. The USC 112 rejection is mintained because the provided evidence do not put forth PCP and relative delay applied to path traversal "through common subgraphs" as claimed, the claims stand relected as set forth in the Final Office Action and the AF amendments will not entered for fear to contact effect of a papeal.